APPROVED 11-7-2024

1	TOWN OF ALTON		
2	ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT		
3	PUBLIC HEARING MEETING		
4	Thursday, October 3, 2024, at 6:00 P.M.		
5	Alton Town Hall		
6			
7	MEMBERS PRESENT		
8	Mark Manning, Chair		
9	Tom Lee, Vice Chair		
10	Tim Morgan, Member		
11	Paul LaRochelle, Selectman's Representative		
12	Paul Monzione, Alternate Member		
13	OTHER REPORTS		
14	OTHERS PRESENT		
15	Norma Ditri, Code Enforcement Officer		
16	Christopher Drescher Esq.		
17	Robert Flannery		
18 19	CALL TO ORDER		
20	Chair Manning called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM.		
21	Chair Wallining Carled the meeting to order at 0.00 1 M.		
22	INTRODUCTION OF BOARD MEMBERS		
23	Roll Call was taken for the Board members and individuals present at Town Hall.		
24	Tion can was united to the boundary and process at 10 mm ranks		
25	APPOINTMENT OF ALTERNATES		
26	Chair Manning asked Mr. Monzione to sit on the Board in Mr. Rich's absence.		
27			
28	APPROVAL OF AGENDA		
29			
30	MOTION: To approve the agenda as presented. Motion by Mr. LaRochelle Second by Mr.		
31	Lee. Motion passed unanimously.		
32			
33	STATEMENT OF THE APPEAL PROCESS		
34	The purpose of this hearing is to allow anyone concerned with an Appeal to the Zoning Board of		
35	Adjustment to present evidence for or against the Appeal. This evidence may be in the form of		
36	an opinion rather than an established fact, however, it should support the grounds that the Board		
37	must consider when making a determination. The purpose of the hearing is not to gauge the		
38	sentiment of the public or to hear personal reasons why individuals are for or against an appeal,		
39	but all facts and opinions based on reasonable assumptions will be considered. In the case of an		
40	appeal for a Variance, the Board must determine facts bearing upon the five criteria as set forth		
41	in the State's Statutes. For a Special Exception, the Board must ascertain whether each of the		
42	standards set forth in the Zoning Ordinance have been or will be met.		
43			
44	1. <u>NEW APPLICATIONS</u>		

45

46 47

48 49

50

51

52 53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67 68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

APPROVED 11-7-2024

Case #Z24-44	Map 31 Lot 35	Administrative Appeal
Christopher Drescher Esq., Agent for Robert	223 Trask Side	Residential Commercial
Flannery & Alton Pittsburg, LLC., Applicant	Road	Zone (RC)
and Owner		

An Administrative Appeal is requested in reference to a Notice of Violation from the Code Official alleging unlawful storage of vehicles.

Chair Manning read the public notice for the appeal into the record.

Christopher Drescher, Esq., representative for Robert Flannery, applicant; he is also representing Alton Pittsburg LLC. Mr. Drescher stated Mr. Flannery owns the property at 208 Main Street, Tax Map 31, Lot 35. He stated the property is an improved lot with a three family building located in the residential commercial zone; there is an accessory structure that has been there for some time which is currently housing a septic business known as Herberts Septic and Site LLC. Mr. Drescher stated they are challenging the interpretation of the definition of a contractor's yard as defined by the zoning ordinance. He stated the Code Enforcement Officer has deemed that the septic system business has turned the accessory building into a contractor's yard. Mr. Drescher stated the business has been in operation at the property since last fall, about a year, and up until recently there were no issues or complaints. He stated the business stores several vehicles associated with the business; the septic business does not involve the storage of any materials on site. At the time the notice of violation was issued, the septic business was performing some work at the abutting property so there were more vehicles on the property than would have normally otherwise been there at that time. Mr. Drescher stated that project has now ended; he stated the notice of violation alleges that the septic business is unlawfully storing too many vehicles at the property. Mr. Drescher noted that a contractor's yard is allowed in this zone by special exception, and they will be applying for that but they are waiting on the proposed conditions from the engineers. Mr. Drescher presented an existing conditions plan for review by the Board.

Mr. Drescher referenced the ordinance and stated it is silent as to the number of vehicles that are permitted at a lawful place of business on the lot; he stated the zone allows for a commercial use so the issue is whether the storage of vehicles rises to the level of a contractor's yard; he stated none of the materials outlined in the ordinance are present at the property and the notice of violation doesn't make any allegations in regards to materials. He stated there is no definition of equipment in the ordinance. He went on to reference NH case law with the New Hampshire Supreme Court, which indicates interpretation of ordinances does not add words or meaning but take them as stated. He reiterated the ordinance does not reference storage of vehicles and they don't believe this is a contractor's yard, but the business is simply parking trucks on the lot. Mr. Drescher stated the photos presented as part of the notice of violation were taken when the work was being done, by the septic company, on the abutting property; he stated there was a lot going on but it was all part of restoring the septic system on the abutting lot. Mr. Drescher stated accusations were made about Mr. Flannery "stealing water" however the hookup to the water system was reviewed by the Town and approved. He stated the driveway has been in place for many years and no further permitting from the State is necessary. Mr. Drescher stated he researched when the building that houses the septic business was built, and there have been a few owners over the years but the deeds are clear back to 1995.

87 88

89

Chair Manning asked when they expect to apply for the special exception. Mr. Drescher stated they are waiting on a single plan and will then submit the application, hopefully in time for the next month's meeting.

90 91 92

Mr. LaRochelle asked what equipment is parked on the lot. Mr. Flannery stated he has some trucks and tools.

93 94 95

96

97

98

99

Mr. LaRochelle stated the prior code enforcement officer, in 2023, documented activity on the lot and talked with the people there at the time, noting it appeared a contractor's yard was being started at that time. The notes indicate it was suggested to the property owners at that time a special exception be obtained. Mr. Flannery stated that is news to him and he is not aware of any conversations regarding this.

100

Mr. Monzione questioned whether the business owner or property owner should be applying for the special exception. Mr. Drescher stated he believes it would be acceptable for either party to apply for the special exception for a contractor's yard as it does not run with the land. Mr. Monzione also suggested the applicant hold the appeal in abeyance without waiving the right to go forward, pending a decision on the special exception. Mr. Drescher agreed.

106107

Ms. Ditri referenced the zoning ordinance, indicating Section 320.4, requires a nonresidential site plan. Mr. Monzione noted a special exception would be contingent on a site plan approval. Ms. Ditri noted there is also no paper trail regarding the water supply, including the hookup of the water supply and where the wastewater is going.

110111

108

109

MOTION: Based on the fact the applicant has agreed to submit an application for a
Special Exception for a Contractor's Yard in time for the next Zoning Board of
Adjustment meeting, to continue the application for an appeal until the next meeting,
conditional on the application being submitted for the special exception. Motion by Mr.
Monzione. Second by Mr. Lee. Motion passed unanimously.

117118

119

120

## OTHER BUSINESS

- 1. Previous Business: None.
- 2. New Business: None.

121122

**3.** Approval of Minutes:

123124

125

Meeting of September 5, 2024- Edits were made. MOTION: To approve the minutes as amended. Motion by Mr. LaRochelle. Second by Mr. Lee. Motion passed unanimously.

126127128

**4.** Correspondence: None.

129 130

MOTION: To adjourn the meeting. Motion by Mr. Lee. Second by Mr. LaRochelle. Motion passed unanimously.

131132

- 133 The meeting was adjourned at 6:35 PM.
- 134
- 135 Respectfully Submitted,
- 136 Jennifer Riel
- 137 Jennifer Riel, Recording Secretary

